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## 1 Additional Tables

## Table A. 1 - Basic Sample Statistics

| Panel A: Population Shares |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age 25/44 | 1995 | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 |
| Age 45/64 | $43.80 \%$ | $43.00 \%$ | $40.90 \%$ | $39.20 \%$ | $36.30 \%$ |
| Age 65+ | $30.10 \%$ | $32.90 \%$ | $35.60 \%$ | $36.30 \%$ | $36.40 \%$ |
| Male | $26.00 \%$ | $24.10 \%$ | $23.50 \%$ | $24.50 \%$ | $27.30 \%$ |
|  | $63.20 \%$ | $62.60 \%$ | $62.50 \%$ | $62.60 \%$ | $62.10 \%$ |


| Panel B: Descriptive Statistics (US\$ of 2018) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Std. Dev. | P10 | P50 | P90 | P99 | P99.9 |
| Safe Assets | 42,869 | 204,242 | 345 | 12,001 | 102,886 | 408,838 | 1,474,710 |
| Public Equity | 7,899 | 303,496 | 0 | 0 | 11,036 | 118,260 | 642,274 |
| Private Equity | 35,205 | 2,312,932 | 0 | 0 | 490 | 409,833 | 4,425,962 |
| Housing | 285,608 | 300,826 | 0 | 222,809 | 638,730 | 1,384,161 | 2,192,636 |
| Gross Wealth | 371,581 | 2,551,564 | 2,778 | 259,693 | 749,967 | 1,922,639 | 6,978,503 |
| Debt | 92,417 | 114,888 | 0 | 45,135 | 250,202 | 464,635 | 678,678 |
| Net wealth | 279,164 | 2,546,067 | $-24,242$ | 16,0147 | 637,285 | 1,731,470 | 6,750,314 |
| Household Observations: 51.3 Million |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes: Table A. 1 show cross-sectional statistics of the population of households in Norway. Panel A shows, population shares for head of household. Panel B shows household-level wealth statistics in real US $\$$ of 2018 (1 USD=8.14 NOK). To obtain these statistics, we first calculate cross sectional moments at the annual level and then we average the statistics across all years in the sample (1993 to 2015).

Table A. 2 - Income and Wealth Concentration

|  | Bottom 50 | Top 10\% | Top 5\% | Top 1\% | Top 0.1\% | Top 0.01\% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor Earnings | 8.15 | 32.72 | 19.44 | 5.77 | 1.13 | 0.25 |
| Safe Assets | 4.14 | 59.32 | 44.01 | 21.12 | 7.73 | 2.69 |
| Public Equity | 0 | 99.89 | 99.19 | 86.64 | 53.71 | 27.87 |
| Private Equity | 0 | 91.03 | 80.85 | 55.55 | 29.49 | 15.91 |
| Housing | 12.52 | 35.95 | 23.47 | 8.53 | 2.11 | 0.60 |
| Gross Wealth | 13.22 | 38.43 | 26.56 | 11.81 | 4.44 | 1.87 |
| Debt | 5.09 | 39.26 | 23.64 | 7.01 | 0.87 | 0.16 |
| Net wealth | 7.31 | 43.81 | 30.73 | 14.10 | 5.46 | 2.33 |

Notes: Table A. 2 show cross sectional concentration statistics at the household level. To calculate these statistics, we first calculate cross sectional moments at the annual level and then we average across all years in the sample (1993 to 2015). The concentration of net wealth deviates slightly from official statistics due to our use of alternative housing values.

Table A. 3 - Sample Statistics: US SCF Data

| Descriptive Statistics (US\$ of 2018) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | SD | P10 | P50 | P90 | P99 | P99.9 |
| Safe Assets | 125,615 | 602,358 | 85 | 16,521 | 281,479 | 1,620,551 | 5,924,482 |
| Public Equity | 84,644 | 1,109,028 | 0 | 0 | 76,413 | 1,569,328 | 9,102,842 |
| Private Equity | 91,180 | 1,825,445 | 0 | 0 | 7,301 | 1,574,025 | 12,985,575 |
| Housing | 237,051 | 1,477,831 | 0 | 98,010 | 457,038 | 2,389,650 | 10,598,920 |
| Gross Wealth | 538,491 | 3,293,036 | 382 | 143,885 | 938,809 | 7,116,825 | 31,126,536 |
| Debt | 78,513 | 532,779 | -2 | 12,596 | 194,056 | 694,872 | 2,637,272 |
| Net wealth | 459,978 | 3,113,103 | -1,741 | 78,847 | 801,826 | 6,685,830 | 27,845,214 |

Notes: Table A. 3 show cross sectional statistics of the population of households in the United States using data from SCF in real US\$ of 2018 . To obtain these statistics, we first calculate cross sectional moments at the annual level and then we average the statistics across all years in the sample after 1989.

Table A. 4 - Income and Wealth Concentration: US SCF Data

|  | Bottom 50 | Top 10\% | Top 5\% | Top 1\% | Top 0.1\% | Top 0.01\% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income | 9.41 | 49.92 | 38.58 | 21.42 | 8.32 | 3.03 |
| Safe Assets | 1.60 | 70.35 | 55.24 | 28.46 | 9.16 | 2.89 |
| Public Equity | -0.04 | 95.77 | 87.27 | 59.96 | 25.47 | 8.90 |
| Private Equity | -0.01 | 99.95 | 97.48 | 77.97 | 36.47 | 13.67 |
| Housing | 4.77 | 59.39 | 47.08 | 26.87 | 11.17 | 4.87 |
| Gross Wealth | 3.86 | 68.31 | 56.79 | 33.12 | 12.06 | 3.88 |
| Debt | -0.08 | 58.84 | 43.93 | 23.31 | 10.95 | 5.59 |
| Net wealth | 1.78 | 73.37 | 61.55 | 36.24 | 13.28 | 4.33 |

Notes: Table A. 4 show cross sectional statistics of the population of households in the United States using data from SCF in real US\$ of 2018 . To obtain these statistics, we first calculate cross sectional moments at the annual level and then we average the statistics across all years in the sample after 1989.

Table A. 5 - Returns on Assets

|  | N 000s | Mean | SD. | Skew. | Kurt. | P1 | P5 | P10 | P50 | P90 | P95 | P99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Panel A: Individual-level returns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All | 29,482 | 0.033 | 0.202 | 0.702 | 19.911 | -0.628 | -0.241 | -0.106 | 0.022 | 0.186 | 0.293 | 0.740 |
| Equity | 8,538 | 0.119 | 0.376 | 2.516 | 25.905 | -0.920 | -0.302 | -0.119 | 0.069 | 0.414 | 0.643 | 1.545 |
| Housing | 23,558 | 0.045 | 0.201 | 2.619 | 30.030 | -0.533 | -0.229 | -0.089 | 0.025 | 0.184 | 0.282 | 0.809 |
| Safe | 26,907 | 0.026 | 0.026 | 4.459 | 41.027 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.024 | 0.049 | 0.061 | 0.127 |
| Panel B: Household-level returns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All | 20,902 | 0.030 | 0.186 | 0.468 | 16.887 | -0.587 | -0.234 | -0.103 | 0.022 | 0.177 | 0.276 | 0.658 |
| Equity | 6,968 | 0.120 | 0.383 | 2.872 | 30.027 | -0.905 | -0.301 | -0.117 | 0.068 | 0.413 | 0.643 | 1.569 |
| Housing | 16,070 | 0.044 | 0.187 | 2.207 | 26.019 | -0.505 | -0.216 | -0.085 | 0.028 | 0.180 | 0.276 | 0.738 |
| Safe | 19,823 | 0.026 | 0.025 | 4.216 | 40.075 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.025 | 0.049 | 0.060 | 0.116 |

Notes: Table A. 5 shows cross-sectional statistics of the returns distribution for different asset classes based on a pooled sample of households between 2004 and 2015. We calculate returns following ?. Equity corresponds to the sum of equity on private and publicly traded firms.

Table A. 6 - Share of Lifetime Resources in the Cross Section

|  | Share out of lifetime resources, $\sum Y_{i t}$ for 50 years old |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Top 0.1\% Wealth Group |  |  |  | Top 1\% Wealth Group |  |  |  |
|  | P50 | P90 | P95 | P99 | P50 | P90 | P95 | P99 |
| Labor Income | 6\% | 19\% | 26\% | $42 \%$ | 19\% | 51\% | 64\% | 91\% |
| Self-Emp. Income | 0\% | $3 \%$ | 10\% | $36 \%$ | 0\% | 12\% | 28\% | 60\% |
| Inheritance | 0\% | 5\% | 10\% | $38 \%$ | 0\% | 5\% | 9\% | $31 \%$ |
| Initial Wealth | 8\% | 63\% | 81\% | 98\% | $14 \%$ | 58\% | $70 \%$ | 87\% |
| Inheritance+Init Wealth | 12\% | 68\% | 81\% | 98\% | $16 \%$ | 60\% | $72 \%$ | 88\% |

Notes: Table A. 6 shows cross-sectional moments of the distribution of lifetime income shares.

Table A. 7 - Average Values and Counterfactual for 50 -to- 54 year old households

| Wealth Rank | Labor Income, $\tilde{l}$ | Inheritances $\tilde{h}$ | Saving Rate, $s$ | Capital Income, $R^{I N C}$ | Initial Wealth* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<0$ | 407,266 | 9,615 | -0.81 | -0.15 | 0.00 |
| $\left[0, W_{\min }\right]$ | 265,827 | 5,460 | -0.02 | -0.32 | 0.04 |
| $\left[W_{\min }, P 50\right]$ | 441,424 | 13,568 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.25 |
| $[P 50, P 75]$ | 516,047 | 22,237 | 0.20 | 0.08 | 0.50 |
| $[P 75, P 90]$ | 584,986 | 33,729 | 0.28 | 0.11 | 0.70 |
| $[P 90, P 95]$ | 682,008 | 50,342 | 0.34 | 0.13 | 0.93 |
| $[P 95, P 99]$ | 802,292 | 68,162 | 0.37 | 0.15 | 1.42 |
| $[P 99, P 99.9]$ | $1,048,610$ | 115,041 | 0.42 | 0.20 | 3.74 |
| Top 0.1\% | $1,354,062$ | 074,699 | 0.74 | 0.16 | 29.61 |
| Counterfactual | 471,402 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.25 |  |

[^1]Table A. 8 - Counterfactual Initial Wealth Under Different Assumptions

|  | Labor prior 1993 |  |  | Counterfactual |  | $A W$ in 1993 Data |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Values in Multiples of Average Wealth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]
## 2 Additional Figures

### 2.1 Cross-Sectional Moments

Figure A. 1 - Time Series of Wealth and Concentration


Notes: Figure A. 1 shows time series of different moments of the wealth distribution in Norway.

Figure A. 2 - Portfolio Composition over the Life Cycle


Notes: Figure A. 2 shows the portfolio shares and leverage within five-year age groups labeled by their starting age (25-$29,30-34$, and so on) for Norway and the United States. Portfolio shares are calculated as the ratio between the value of all assets in a particular category (e.g. total value of safe assets) over the total value of gross wealth (i.e. sum of wealth in housing, safe assets, public equity, and private equity) within an age group. Similarly, within-group leverage, is the ratio between the sum all debt (e.g. mortgages, student debt, credit card debt) within a wealth rank and age group and the sum of all total assets within the same group. See Appendix ?? for additional details and definitions.

Figure A. 3 - Portfolio Composition over the Wealth Distribution


Notes: Figure A. 3 shows the portfolio shares and leverage within wealth percentiles for Norway and the United States. Portfolio shares are calculated as the ratio between the value of all assets in a particular category (e.g. total value of safe assets) over the total value of gross wealth (i.e. sum of wealth in housing, safe assets, public equity, and private equity) within an wealth group. Similarly, within-group leverage, is the ratio between the sum all debt (e.g. mortgages, student debt, credit card debt) within a wealth group and the sum of all total assets within the same group. See Appendix ?? for additional details and definitions.

Figure A. 4 - Cross-Sectional Standard Deviation of Returns


Notes: Figure A. 4 shows the standard deviation returns within different quantiles of the households net worth distribution. To construct this figure, we pool household observations between 2005 and 2015 . Weighted averages are weighted using the value of the corresponding asset. Negative or missing asset values are assigned a weight of 0 .

## Figure A. 5 - Cross Sectional P90-P10 of Returns

(A) Net Wealth
(в) Equity

(c) Safe Assets


(D) Housing


Notes: Figure A. 5 shows the P90-P10 of returns within different quantiles of the households net worth distribution. To construct this figure, we pool household observations between 2005 and 2015 . Weighted averages are weighted using the value of the corresponding asset. Negative or missing asset values are assigned a weight of 0 .

Figure A. 6 - Cross Sectional Kelley Skewness of Returns


Notes: Figure A. 6 shows the Kelley Skewness returns within different quantiles of the households net worth distribution. To construct this figure, we pool household observations between 2005 and 2015 . Weighted averages are weighted using the value of the corresponding asset. Negative or missing asset values are assigned a weight of 0 . Kelley Skewness is defined as $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{K}}=\frac{P 90-P 50}{P 90-P 10}-\frac{P 50-P 10}{P 90-P 10}$.

### 2.2 Backward-Looking Results

Figure A. 7 - Share of Wealth at the Top 10 Percentile.


[^3]Figure A. 8 - Backward-Looking Wealth Profiles: Age Groups


Notes: Figure A. 8 shows the evolution of average wealth for different wealth groups conditional on their wealth at the end of the sample period sorted by $B W_{j}^{h}$.

## Figure A. 9 - Long-Term Transition Matrix: Age Groups

(A) Age 45/49

(c) Age 60/64

(e) Age 70/74

(в) Age 55/59

| [0,50] | Initial Average Wealth Rank |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [0,50] | (50-75] | (75-90] | (90-95] | (95-99] | (99-99.9] | Top 0.1\% |
|  | 66.5 | 21.7 | 8.2 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| ค (50-75] | 40.4 | 32.4 | 19.0 | 4.8 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| $\stackrel{\text { a }}{\sim}$ (75-90] | 30.3 | 28.0 | 25.9 | 9.0 | 6.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| \% (90-95] | 24.3 | 21.9 | 25.8 | 13.8 | 11.9 | 2.2 | 0.1 |
| $\cdots{ }^{\text {E }}$ (95-99] | 18.9 | 16.8 | 21.2 | 15.1 | 21.0 | 6.6 | 0.4 |
| 家 (99-99.9] | 12.6 | 10.3 | 13.8 | 10.4 | 22.9 | 26.0 | 3.9 |
| Top 0.1\% | 5.4 | 4.6 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 12.2 | 32.9 | 30.4 |

(D) Age 65/69

Initial Average Wealth Rank

(F) Age 75/79


Notes: Figure A. 9 shows the intragenerational persistence of net wealth. Figure ?? shows the results by first sorting household whose head is in different age groups in the conditioning year and then again by $\bar{W}_{i, 1993}$. Each cell represent the fraction of household in different percentiles of the wealth distribution in $\bar{W}_{i, 1993}$ (columns), conditional on their percentile of the wealth distribution in the conditioning year, $B W_{j}^{h}$ (rows).

Figure A. 10 - Backward-Looking Transition Matrix: Level


Notes: In the different panels of Figure A.10, each cell represent the fraction of household in different levels of the wealth distribution in $\bar{W}_{i, 1993}$ (columns), conditional on their levels of the wealth distribution in the conditioning year, $B W_{j}^{h}$ (rows). Wealth is expressed in multiple of $A W$.

Figure A. 11 - Backward-Looking Portfolio Shares: Age Groups


Notes: Figure A. 11 shows the evolution of the portfolio shares (left y-axis) and leverage (right y-axis).

Figure A. 12 - Cross-Sectional Portfolio Shares for $B W_{\geq P 99.9}^{[50-54]}$


Notes: Figure A. 12 cross-sectional moments of the distribution portfolio shares for households in $B W_{\geq P 99.9}^{[50-54]}$.

Figure A. 13 - Backward-Looking Portfolio Shares for $B W_{\geq P 99.9}^{[50-54]}$


Notes: Figure A. 13 shows the evolution of the portfolio shares (left y-axis) and leverage (right y-axis) for households.

Figure A. 14 - Returns on Assets Across the Wealth Distribution-Unweighted
(A) Return on Net Wealth
(B) Return on Safe Assets

(c) Return on Housing


(D) Return on Equity


Notes: Figure A. 14 shows the 11-years mean of the value-weighted average gross annual returns within age and wealth groups across different conditioning years for different asset classes.

Figure A. 15 - Dispersion and Skewness of Rates of Log-term Returns-Unweighted


Notes: Figure A. 15 shows the 11-years mean of the value-weighted cross-sectional moments of the gross annual returns within age and wealth groups across different conditioning years for different asset classes.

Figure A. 16 - Decomposition of Life Time Resources: Age Groups


Notes: Figure A. 16 shows the shares of lifetime income for a sample of households in a given conditioning year for different age groups conditional on $B W_{j}^{h}$. Lifetime income refers to the sum of initial wealth (net worth in 1993) and all income sources between 1994 and the conditioning year. We average these shares across conditioning years.

Figure A. 17 - Fundamental Income Decomposition: Age Groups
(A) Age $45 / 49$

(C) Age 60/64

(E) Age 70/74

(B) Age 55/59

(D) Age 65/69

(F) Age 75/79


Notes: Figure A. 17 shows the shares of lifetime income for a sample of households in a given conditioning year for different age groups conditional on $B W_{j}^{h}$ and accounting for capitalization.

Figure A. 18 - Fundamental Income Decomposition: Young Age Groups
(A) Age 20/24

(C) Age 30/35

(B) Age 25/29

(D) Age 35/39


Notes: Figure A. 18 shows the shares of lifetime income for a sample of households in a given conditioning year for different age groups conditional on $B W_{j}^{h}$ and accounting for capitalization.

Figure A. 19 - Intergenerational Transition Matrix: Age Groups
(A) Age $45 / 49$

(c) Age 60/64

Parents Life Time Wealth Rank

| [0,50] |  | Parents Life Time Wealth Rank |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | [0,50] | (50-75] | (75-90] | (90-95] | (95-99] | (99-99.9] | Top 0.1\% |
|  |  | 48.8 | 36.7 | 11.8 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| - | (50-75] | 36.4 | 40.6 | 17.5 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 틀 } \end{gathered}$ | (75-90] | 28.8 | 39.7 | 21.8 | 6.1 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| $3$ | (90-95] | 22.5 | 36.0 | 25.2 | 8.9 | 6.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| $\cdots$ | (95-99] | 18.3 | 31.2 | 27.0 | 11.3 | 9.8 | 2.2 | 0.1 |
| - | (99-99.9] | 16.2 | 25.6 | 22.0 | 10.5 | 15.9 | 8.5 | 1.2 |
|  | Top 0.1\%- | 13.7 | 17.6 | 19.8 | 11.5 | 9.9 | 16.8 | 10.7 |

(B) Age 55/59

(D) Age 65/69

Parents Life Time Wealth Rank
[0,50] (50-75] (75-90] (90-95] (95-99] (99-99.9] Top 0.1\%


Notes: Figure A. 19 shows the intergenerational persistence of net wealth. It shows the results by first sorting household within age groups by the lifetime wealth of their parents. Each cell represent the fraction of household in different percentiles of the parents wealth distribution (columns), conditional on their percentile of the wealth distribution in the conditioning year, $B W_{j}^{h}$ (rows). Each row sums to 100 . The Parents Life Time Wealth Rank is calculate as the rank of the average wealth adjusted for an age and year specific mean.

Figure A. 20 - Labor Income Growth Across the Wealth Distribution

(c) One-year Change

(E) One-year Change

(B) Three-years Change

(D) Three-years Change

(F) Three-years Change


Notes: Figure A. 20 shows time series average of the distribution of residuals earnings growth conditional on age and wealth group $\left(B W_{j}^{h}\right)$.

### 2.3 New Money and Old Money: Additional Figures

Figure A. 21 - Income Sources for New- and Old-Money Households


Notes: Figure A. 21 shows the shares of lifetime income for a sample of households in a given conditioning year for different age groups conditional on $B W_{\geq P 99.9}^{h}$ and were in different quartiles of the initial average wealth distribution $\left(\bar{W}_{i, 1994}\right)$. Lifetime income refers to the sum of initial wealth (net worth in 1993) and all income sources between 1994 and the conditioning year. We average these shares across conditioning years.

Figure A. 22 - Average Wealth Profile: Old Money and New Money


Notes: Figure A. 22 shows the average wealth profile for household whose head is in different wealth age and belong to the top $0.1 \%$ of the wealth distribution at the end of the sample $\left(B W_{\geq P 99.9}^{h}\right)$ and were in different quartiles of the initial average wealth distribution $\left(\bar{W}_{i, 1994}\right)$.

Figure A. 23 - Intergenerational Transition Matrix: Age Groups
(A) Age 50/54



(D) Age 60/64


Parents Life Time Wealth Rank

|  | [0,50] | (50-75] | (75-90] | (90-95] | (95-99] | (99-99.9] | Top 0.1\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1 | 18.4 | 37.9 | 19.2 | 12.4 | 7.4 | 3.7 | 1.1 |
| 合 $\mathrm{Q} 2^{2}$ | 15.7 | 30.6 | 27.5 | 9.2 | 12.6 | 3.9 | 0.5 |
| Q3 | 11.3 | 24.7 | 19.2 | 13.6 | 17.8 | 10.8 | 2.6 |
| Q4 | 7.8 | 17.0 | 17.2 | 8.6 | 23.5 | 19.6 | 6.3 |

(E) Age 65/69


Notes: Figure A. 23 shows a intergenerational transition matrix between households wealth in 2015 and their parental household wealth for households in different age groups. Each cell represent the fraction of household in different percentiles of the parents wealth distribution (columns), conditional on their percentile of the wealth distribution in the conditioning year, $B W_{j}^{h}$ (rows). Each row sums to 100. The Parents Life Time Wealth Rank is calculate as the rank of the average wealth adjusted for an age and year specific mean.

Figure A. 24 - Education Shares for New and Old Money Households


Notes: Figure A. 24 the share of different education groups households (highest degree of the head of the household) for households at the top $0.1 \%$ and top $1 \%$ among 50 to 54 year old households $\left(B W_{\geq P 99.9}^{50-54}\right.$ and $B W_{\geq P 99}^{50-54}$ respectively) divided in New Money (first quartile in the initial average wealth, $\bar{W}_{i, 1994}$ ) and Old Money (forth quartile in the initial average wealth, $\bar{W}_{i, 1994}$ ). HS is High-school or less, FNCE BA/MA is Bachelor or MBA on a finance or business administration major, BA and MA are other bachelor degrees or master degrees, MD is Medical Doctor or Dentist, H-STEM is BA or MA on a health related degree (except for Medical Doctor or Dentist) and STEM major.

Figure A. 25 - Average Wealth Profile by Education
(A) Top 0.1\%: Law and Medical Doctor

(в) Top $0.1 \%$ : Other


Notes: Figure A. 25 shows the average wealth profile for household whose head is between 50 and 54 years old in 2015 and belong to the top $0.1 \%$ in that year. Each line is the average wealth for individuals in different quartiles of the wealth distribution in 1993. Panel A shows households whose head has the title of lawyer or medical doctor. Panel B shows all other educational titles.

Figure A. 26 - Portfolio Shares: Old Money and New Money and Age Groups


Notes: Figure A. 26 shows the portfolio composition and leverage for households that belong to the top $1 \%$ in 2015 . New Money households (Panel A, C and E) are those household that where in the first quartile of the wealth distribution in 1993; Old Money households (panel B, D, and E) are those households that were in the fourth quartile of $\bar{W}_{1993}$.

Figure A. 27 - Portfolio Shares: Old Money and New Money at top 1\%


Notes: Figure A. 27 shows the portfolio composition and leverage for households that belong to the top $1 \%$. New Money households (Panel A, C, E) are those household that where in the first quartile of the wealth distribution in 1993; Old Money households (panel B, D, and E) are those households that were in the fourth quartile of $W_{1993}$.

Figure A. 28 - Average Long-Term Returns: Old Money and New Money


Notes: Figure A. 28 shows the 11-years mean of the value-weighted average gross annual returns within age and wealth groups across different conditioning years for different asset classes.

Figure A. 29 - Lifetime Returns: Old Money and New Money (Unweighted)


Notes: Figure A. 29 shows the average lifetime returns for households who are at the top $1 \%$ of the wealth distribution at the end of the sample period (2015) and were in different quarterlies of the wealth distribution at the start of the sample period (1993) identified as Quartile 1 (Q1) to Quartile 4 (Q4).

Figure A. 30 - Dispersion of Long-Term Returns: Old Money and New Money


Notes: Figure A. 30 shows the 11-years mean of the value-weighted P90-P10 of returns for households who are at the top $0.1 \%$ of the wealth distribution at the end of the sample period $\left(B W_{\geq P 99.9}^{h}\right)$ and were in different quarterlies of the initial average wealth distribution $\left(\bar{W}_{i, 1994}\right)$ identified as Quartile 1 (Q1) to Quartile 4 (Q4).

Figure A. 31 - Skewness of Long-Term Returns: Old Money and New Money
(A) Kelley Skewness of Returns on Assets

(в) Kelley Skewness of Returns on Equity


Notes: Figure A. 31 shows the 11-years mean of the value-weighted Kelley Skewness of returns for households who are at the top $0.1 \%$ of the wealth distribution at the end of the sample period $\left(B W_{\geq P 99.9}^{h}\right)$ and were in different quarterlies of the initial average wealth distribution $\left(\bar{W}_{i, 1994}\right)$ identified as Quartile 1 (Q1) to Quartile 4 (Q4).

Figure A. 32 - Top wealth Households for Different Age Groups


Notes: Figure A. 32 shows the counterfactual wealth profiles for households at the top $0.1 \%$ of the wealth distribution if 2015 for different age groups.

Figure A. 33 - Shapley-Owen Decomposition of Wealth Gap: Age Groups
(A) Age 45-49
(B) Age 55-59

(c) Age 60-64
x Average Wealth in Economy

(E) Age 70-74

x Average Wealth in Economy

(D) Age 65-69
x Average Wealth in Economy

(F) Age 75-79


[^4] 2015 for different age groups.

Figure A. 34 - Shapley-Owen Decomposition of Wealth Gap: 99 to $99.9 \%$


Notes: Figure A. 34 shows the counterfactual wealth profiles for households between the 99 and 99.9 th percentiles of the wealth distribution if 2015 for different age groups.

Figure A. 35 - Shapley-Owen Decomposition of Wealth Gap: 95 to $99 \%$
(A) Age 45-49
x Average Wealth in Economy

(c) Age 60-64
x Average Wealth in Economy

(E) Age 70-74
x Average Wealth in Economy

(B) Age 55-59
x Average Wealth in Economy

(D) Age 65-69
x Average Wealth in Economy

(F) Age 75-79
x Average Wealth in Economy


Notes: Figure A. 35 shows the counterfactual wealth profiles for households between the 95 and 99th percentiles of the wealth distribution if 2015 for different age groups.

Figure A. 36 - Shapley-Owen Decomposition: Cash-on-Hand Saving Rate
(A) Age 45-49
(B) Age 55-59

(c) Age 60-64
x Average Wealth in Economy

(E) Age 70-74

x Average Wealth in Economy

(D) Age 65-69
x Average Wealth in Economy

(F) Age 75-79


Notes: Figure A. 36 shows the counterfactual wealth profiles for households at the top $0.1 \%$ of the wealth distribution if 2015 for different age groups. The saving rate is defined as $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}=W_{i, t} /\left(W_{i, t-1}+\tilde{L}_{i, t}+\tilde{H}_{i, t}+\tilde{R}_{i, t} W_{i, t-1}\right)$.

### 2.4 Balanced Sample

Figure A. 37 - Balanced Backward-Looking Wealth Profiles: Age Groups


Notes: Figure A. 37 shows average wealth for different $B W_{j}^{h}$ groups considering households that have been stable for at least ten years.

Figure A. 38 - Balanced Forward-Looking Wealth Profiles: Age Groups


Notes: Figure A. 38 shows average wealth for different $F W_{j}^{h}$ groups considering households that have been stable for at least ten years.

### 2.5 Forward-Looking Results

Figure A. 39 - Forward-Looking Wealth Profiles: Age Groups


Notes: Figure A. 39 shows the evolution of average household for households in different $F W_{j}^{h}$ groups.

Figure A. 40 - Forward-Looking Transition Matrix: Age Groups
(B) Age 35/39
(A) Age 30/34

Ending Average Wealth Rank

|  |  | [0,50] | Ending Average Wealth Rank |  |  |  |  | Top 0.1\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (50-75] | (75-90] | (90-95] | (95-99] | (99-99.9] |  |
|  | [0,50] | 62.6 | 21.0 | 10.7 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| L | (50-75] | 48.0 | 28.6 | 15.2 | 4.7 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| $\stackrel{1}{4}$ | (75-90] | 32.5 | 32.9 | 21.6 | 6.9 | 5.1 | 0.9 | 0.1 |
| 3 | (90-95] | 22.7 | 28.8 | 27.8 | 10.3 | 8.5 | 1.6 | 0.1 |
| $0$ | (95-99] | 16.2 | 21.8 | 27.3 | 14.3 | 16.1 | 4.0 | 0.3 |
| $\bigcirc$ | (99-99.9] | 7.5 | 10.7 | 16.9 | 13.5 | 33.5 | 16.0 | 2.0 |
|  | Top 0.1\% | 3.1 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 4.4 | 14.1 | 44.1 | 29.2 |

(c) Age 40/44


(D) Age 45/49

| [0,50] |  | Ending Average Wealth Rank |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | [0,50] | (50-75] | (75-90] | (90-95] | (95-99] | (99-99.9] | Top 0.1\% |
|  |  | 71.7 | 18.5 | 7.1 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| IL | (50-75] | 39.9 | 36.6 | 17.1 | 4.1 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| $\xrightarrow{\sim}$ | (75-90] | 21.0 | 32.7 | 30.5 | 9.5 | 5.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| 3 | (90-95] | 14.0 | 21.9 | 32.2 | 16.8 | 13.5 | 1.6 | 0.1 |
| E | (95-99] | 8.1 | 13.5 | 23.6 | 19.3 | 28.9 | 6.5 | 0.2 |
| $\stackrel{\text { N }}{ \pm}$ | (99-99.9]- | 2.5 | 4.1 | 8.1 | 10.1 | 34.4 | 36.6 | 4.3 |
|  | Top 0.1\% | 1.7 | 0.7 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 9.2 | 44.9 | 39.0 |

(F) Age 55/59


Ending Average Wealth Rank
$\left[\begin{array}{llll}{[0,50]} & (50-75] & (75-90] & (90-95] \\ (95-99] & (99-99.9]\end{array}\right.$ Top 0.1\%


Notes: Figure A. 40 shows the intragenerational persistence of net wealth. Figure A. 40 shows the results by first sorting household whose head is in different age groups in the conditioning year and then again by $\bar{W}_{i, 2015}$. Each cell represent the fraction of household in different percentiles of the wealth distribution in $\bar{W}_{i, 2015}$ (columns), conditional on their percentile of the wealth distribution in the conditioning year, $F W_{j}^{h}$ (rows).

Figure A. 41 - Forward-Looking Portfolio Shares: Age Groups


Notes: Figure A. 41 shows the evolution of the portfolio shares (left y-axis) and leverage (right y-axis) for households.

Figure A. 42 - Forward-Looking Portfolio Shares: Other Groups (25-29 Years Old)


Notes: Figure A. 42 shows the evolution of the portfolio shares (left y-axis) and leverage (right y-axis) for households.

Figure A. 43 - Dispersion and Skewness of Returns on Net Wealth


Notes: Figure A. 43 shows value-weighted cross-sectional moments of annual returns within age and wealth groups.
Figure A. 44 - Lifetime Returns by Start-of-the-Period Wealth


Notes: Figure A. 44 shows the 11-years mean of the value-weighted average gross annual returns within age and wealth groups across different conditioning years for different asset 46 classes.

Figure A. 45 - Dispersion and Skewness of Rates of Returns


Notes: Table A. 45 shows the 11 -years mean of the value-weighted cross-sectional moments of the gross annual returns within age and wealth groups across different conditioning years for different asset classes.

Figure A. 46 - Lifetime Resources Decomposition: Age Groups


Notes: Figure A. 46 shows lifetime resources shares for households in different age groups and wealth rank, $F W_{j}^{h}$.

Figure A. 47 - Forward-Looking Dynamic Decomposition: Age Groups


Notes: Figure A. 47 shows the shares of lifetime resources for a sample of households in different age groups and wealth rank, $F W_{j}^{h}$, accounting for capitalization.

Figure A. 48 - Counterfactual: Top wealth Households; Age Groups


Notes: Figure A. 48 shows the counterfactual wealth profiles for households at the top $0.1 \%$ of the wealth distribution.

### 2.6 Forward-Looking Evolution of the Rich

Figure A. 49 - Forward Wealth Profile: Old Money and New Money
(A) Age 25/29: Top 1\% Households

(c) Age 35/39: Top $1 \%$ Households

(e) Age 45/49: Top 1\% Households

(в) Age 25/29: Top $0.1 \%$ Households

(D) Age 35/39: Top 0.1\% Households

(F) Age 45/49: Top 0.1\% Households


Notes: Figure A. 49 shows the average wealth profile for household whose head is in $F W_{\geq P 99.9}^{h}$ different age groups, $h$ and belong to the top $0.1 \%$ of the wealth distribution at the start of the sample and were in different quartiles of the end-of-period average wealth distribution $\left(\bar{W}_{i, 2015}\right)$.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The "®" symbol indicates certified random order for authors' names (Ray and Robson, 2018).
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[^1]:    Notes: Table A. 7 the average of the component of the budget constraint for households who are 50 to 54 years old. *Initial wealth is expressed relative to the average wealth in the economy. Labor and Inheritances (sum if inheritances and inter-vivos transfers) are in real NOK of 2018.

[^2]:    Notes: Columns (1) and (2) report the sum of labor income prior to 1993, for the top $0.1 \%$ (backward-ranking), respectively the subgroup Old Money. Counterfactual initial wealth in (3) and (4) refers to the estimated initial wealth (in 1993) when capitalizing observed post-tax and transfer labor income prior to 1993 with the observed saving rate and return on net wealth post-1993. We contrast this estimated counterfactual initial wealth to the actual observed initial wealth in 1993 of each group (all top $0.1 \%$ households in (5) and the subgroup of Old Money in (6)). All values are in units of average economy-wide wealth (AW).

[^3]:    Notes: Figure shows the share of the economy-wide net wealth held by households at the top $10 \%$ of the distribution.

[^4]:    Notes: Figure A. 33 shows the counterfactual wealth profiles for households at the top $0.1 \%$ of the wealth distribution if

